arXiv:0912.5148v1 [astro-ph.CO] 28 Dec 2009

The Quantum Phase of Inflation

Arjun Berera*
School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK

Raghavan Rangarajan†
Theoretical Physics Division, Physical Research Laboratory,
Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380 009, India

(Dated: December 28, 2009)

Abstract

Inflation models can have an early phase of inflation where the evolution of the inflaton is driven by quantum fluctuations before entering the phase driven by the slope of the scalar field potential. For a Coleman-Weinberg potential this quantum phase lasts 10^{7-8} e-foldings. A long period of fluctuation driven growth of the inflation field can possibly take the inflaton to the bottom of its potential, or past ϕ_*, the value of the field where our current horizon scale crosses the horizon. Alternatively, even if the field does not cross ϕ_*, the inflaton could have high kinetic energy at the end of this phase. All these possibilities will change inflation and the density spectrum significantly. Therefore we study these issues in the context of new inflation, chaotic inflation and natural inflation. We find that the quantum phase is negligible for chaotic and natural inflation while for new inflation it does not preclude subsequent classical slow roll inflation. New scenarios where cosmological relevant scales leave during the quantum phase are explored but are found to be unfeasible. A new phase of thermal fluctuation driven inflation is proposed, in which during inflation the inflaton evolution is governed by fluctuations from a sustained thermal radiation bath rather than by a scalar field potential.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

---

*Electronic address: ab@ph.ed.ac.uk
†Electronic address: raghavan@prl.res.in

I. INTRODUCTION

In the early stages of inflation the evolution of the inflaton field is dominated by quantum fluctuations rather than by the slope of the potential, $V'(\phi)$. In the initial stages of inflation, V' is small, and $\dot{\phi}$ is not given by $-V'/3H$ but by the change in $\sqrt{\langle\phi^2\rangle}$ due to quantum fluctuations leaving the horizon, freezing out, and becoming part of the large wavelength background [1, 2]. For a Coleman-Weinberg new inflation potential this initial phase can last for as long as 10^{7-8} e-foldings after which $V'(\phi)$ dominates over the fluctuations and one gets standard evolution.

In this article we discuss the quantum evolution of the inflaton field in the context of new inflation, chaotic inflation and natural inflation, and then warm (new) inflation in the weak and strong dissipative regimes. A long quantum fluctuation driven phase for the inflaton can drive it to the bottom of its potential or past ϕ_*, the value of the inflaton field when our present horizon scale crosses the horizon. This will necessarily change our understanding of inflation. Alternatively, even if the inflaton does not cross ϕ_*, the inflaton kinetic energy at the end of the fluctuation driven phase can be large, thereby precluding standard inflation thereafter. While the quantum phase of inflation has been known for long these possibilities have not been discussed in the literature so far. The thermal fluctuation driven phase is new and first time is being proposed in this paper.

We first consider new inflation with a quartic potential, $V = V_0 - (\lambda/4)\phi^4$, which mimics the inflationary part of a Coleman-Weinberg potential when the field is far from the potential minimum [1, 2]. We initially presume that our current horizon scale leaves the horizon during the standard classical rolling phase (which fixes the coupling λ to be $\sim 10^{-14}$) and then check for the viability of this scenario after a long period of quantum evolution. We find that the field is still high up on its potential at the end of the quantum phase, and its kinetic energy is not dominant. Therefore the standard new inflation scenario can follow an initial quantum fluctuation driven phase. We also find that the condition for eternal inflation is always satisfied in the quantum phase but not during the classical evolution phase.

We then study the scenario where the quantum fluctuation driven phase lasts long enough for the inflaton to cross ϕ_*. The value of ϕ_* has to be rederived in this scenario. Furthermore the curvature perturbations are different from that in standard inflation. The Bardeen variable ζ, which is a measure of curvature perturbations, is related to the inflaton fluctuations by the following relation [3]

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_k &= \frac{\delta\rho_k}{\rho + p} \\ &= \frac{|V'\,\delta\phi(k)|}{\dot{\phi}^2} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\delta\phi(k) = (k^3/2\pi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_k = H/(2\pi)$ and the rhs is evaluated when the mode k leaves the horizon. However for modes leaving during the quantum phase $\dot{\phi}$ is not given by $\dot{\phi} = -V'/(3H)$ but by $\sqrt{\langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle}$. We find that consistency of this scenario requires the coupling λ to be greater than $\sim 10^{-2}$. But agreement with the observed density perturbations requires that either the initial value of ϕ is very close to 0 or $\lambda < 10^{-3}$.

We then discuss the scenario where the quantum phase lasts the entire inflationary epoch, i.e., $\phi_Q > \phi_e$ where ϕ_e is the value of the inflaton at the end of inflation. This scenario requires a very large value of $H \sim M_{\rm Pl}$ and generates too large an amplitude for gravitational waves.

We next consider quadratic new inflation. For GUT-scale quadratic new inflation, $V = V_0 - (1/2)m^2\phi^2$, there are 2000 e-foldings of inflation during the quantum phase. We verify the viability of the subsequent standard classical inflation. We also find that the condition for eternal inflation is satisfied during the quantum phase. Once again we explore the scenario where the quantum phase lasts long enough for cosmologically relevant scales to leave the horizon during the quantum phase, and the scenario where the quantum phase lasts till the end of inflation. We find that these scenarios are not feasible because they predict too large a tensor-scalar ratio.

In chaotic and natural inflation we find that, unlike in new inflation, quantum fluctuations do not play a significant role in the evolution of the inflaton, i.e., classical evolution dominates from the beginning of inflation. We also study eternal (chaotic) inflation, in which the inflaton continuously moves up its potential due to quantum fluctuations.

We then consider the above issues in the warm inflationary scenario in which the continuous decay of the inflaton during inflation creates a thermal bath which survives throughout the inflationary phase. In warm inflationary type dynamics, $\langle\phi^2\rangle$ can grow initially due to fluctuations of the inflaton field in this thermal background. We study the quartic new inflation potential in the context of both weak dissipation and strong dissipation of the inflaton field. We verify whether the inflaton reaches the minimum of its potential during the fluctuation driven phase itself. We find that in the weak dissipative regime there is no thermal fluctuation dominated phase. Therefore the potential driven phase is preceded by a quantum fluctuation driven phase, as in cold inflation. In the strong dissipative regime there is a thermal fluctuation driven phase which lasts for 10^8 e-foldings. This is a new phase of warm inflation not considered earlier. The further requirement that the thermal fluctuations do not take the field to the bottom of the potential requires that the scale of inflation is less than 10^{14} GeV. This is two orders of magnitude less than earlier constraints [4]. For both dissipative regimes, after the fluctuation driven phase is over, standard warm inflation can follow, indicating the consistency of the warm inflation scenario.

II. COLD INFLATION

A. New inflation

During the quantum evolution phase in cold new inflation, $\langle\phi^2\rangle$ grows as

$$\langle\phi^2\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_H^{aH} d^3k|\phi_k|^2 = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \times N(t) \ , \tag{2}$$

where k is the comoving momentum, $N(t) = H(t - t_0)$ is the number of e-foldings since the beginning of inflation at t_0, and we have only integrated over modes outside the horizon which can act as part of the homogeneous background field. We have also ignored any initial ϕ_0. [1] Therefore $\phi = (H/2\pi)\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}$, and $\dot{\phi}$ during the quantum phase is then given by eq. (8.3.12) of Ref. [1] as

$$\dot{\phi}_q = \frac{H^2}{4\pi\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}} \ . \tag{3}$$

For a Coleman-Weinberg potential, modelled as

$$V = V_0 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 \tag{4}$$

for small ϕ, this period of quantum evolution lasts while

$$\dot{\phi}_q \gg \dot{\phi}_V = -\frac{V'}{3H} \ , \tag{5}$$

---

[1] For standard classical inflation one argues that due to quantum fluctuations the initial value of the inflaton should not be less than $H/(2\pi)$. This argument is not relevant when one is studying quantum fluctuation driven evolution. Nevertheless we shall take $\phi_0 \sim H/(2\pi)$, and so impose $\phi_* > H/(2\pi)$. ϕ_0 actually depends on the inflaton dynamics as the universe approaches the inflationary epoch.

that is, for $\phi < \phi_Q$, where, using eqs. (2) and (3), [2]

$$\phi_Q = \frac{H}{2\pi}\left(\frac{60}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} . \tag{6}$$

Using eq. (2), the number of e-foldings till t_Q is

$$N_Q \equiv H(t_Q - t_0) = 8/\sqrt{\lambda} . \tag{7}$$

For the scenario with $\phi_Q < \phi_*$, $\lambda \sim 10^{-14}$ for GUT-scale inflation and one can see that $N_Q \approx 10^8$, i.e., 10^8 e-foldings occur before one gets to classical evolution in this new inflation model. $\phi_Q \approx 10^3 H$. Using eq. (8.62) of Ref. [3]) and taking $\phi_e \approx (V_0/\lambda)^{1/4}$ we get $\phi_* \approx 10^6 H$, which is larger than ϕ_Q as presumed. (Typically one uses the slow roll condition $|V''| \ll 9H^2$ to obtain $\phi_e = (3/\lambda)^{1/2} H$ [3]. But then $V(\phi_e) < 0$. We instead take $\phi_e \approx (V_0/\lambda)^{1/4}$.) We now confirm that the universe is potential energy dominated during and at the end of the fluctuation driven epoch by comparing $\langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle$ with V_0.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_H^{aH} d^3k|\dot{\phi}_k|^2 && (8)\\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2}(H^4 - H^4/a^4) \approx \frac{1}{16\pi^2}H^4 , && (9)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used eq. (7.3.8) of Ref. [1] to obtain $|\dot{\phi}_k|^2 = k/(2a^4)$. $V(\phi_Q) = V_0 - H^4/\pi^4$, and with $V_0 \approx 0.1 H^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2$, $V(\phi_Q) \approx V_0$. Clearly $\langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle \ll V_0$.

The curvature perturbations during the fluctuation driven phase is given by eqs. (1) and (9) to be

$$\zeta_k = 8\pi\lambda\frac{\phi^3}{H^3} . \tag{10}$$

(Note that we use $\langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle$ and not $\dot{\phi}_q$, which is the time derivative of $\sqrt{\langle\phi^2\rangle}$, in the evaluation of ζ_k.) Now the condition for eternal inflation is $\mathcal{K} \equiv \delta\phi/[0.61\dot{\phi}_V H^{-1}] \gtrsim 1$, where $\delta\phi = H/(2\pi)$ [7]. This can be re-expressed as

$$\mathcal{K} = 0.5\,\zeta_k\frac{\langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle}{\dot{\phi}_V^2} \gtrsim 1 . \tag{11}$$

Using eqs. (5), (9) and (10),

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{9}{4\pi}\frac{H^3}{\lambda\phi^3} . \tag{12}$$

---

[2] Our value of ϕ_Q differs slightly from that obtained in Ref. [1], possibly because of some factors in eq. (8.3.12) of Ref. [1].

The value of $\mathcal{K}$ at $\phi = \phi_Q$ is 3×10^4 indicating that the condition for eternal inflation is always satisfied in the quantum phase when $\phi < \phi_Q$. Note that ζ_k and $\delta\rho/\rho$ are less than 1 during the quantum phase. For $\phi > \phi_Q$, one uses the classical value for $\langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle$ and $\mathcal{K} < 1$.

The above results are also valid for lower scales from the electroweak to the GUT scale, with the number of e-foldings between ϕ_* and ϕ_e varying from 30 to 60 and λ varying from 10^{-13} to 10^{-14}. N_Q varies from 10^7 to 10^8.

We now consider the scenario where the quantum fluctuations take the inflaton beyond ϕ_* and so our current horizon scale leaves the horizon when inflaton evolution is dominated by quantum fluctuations. We first consider the scenario in which the fluctuations do not take the inflaton past ϕ_e. To obtain ϕ_* we break the evolution from ϕ_* to ϕ_Q, and from ϕ_Q to ϕ_e. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(\phi_* \to \phi_e) &= \int_{t_*}^{t_e} H dt \\
&= \int_{\phi_*}^{\phi_e} H \frac{d\phi}{\dot{\phi}} \qquad (13) \\
&= \frac{8\pi^2}{H^2} \int_{\phi_*}^{\phi_Q} \phi \, d\phi + \frac{8\pi}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \int_{\phi_Q}^{\phi_e} \frac{V(\phi)}{-V'(\phi)} d\phi \qquad (14) \\
&= \frac{4\pi^2}{H^2}(\phi_Q^2 - \phi_*^2) + \frac{3H^2}{2\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\phi_Q^2} - \frac{1}{\phi_e^2} \right), \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the number of e-foldings from $\phi = \phi_*$ to ϕ_e, we have used eqs. (2) and (3) for $\dot{\phi}$ for $\phi < \phi_Q$, and eq. (8.62) of Ref. [3] for $\phi > \phi_Q$. Therefore

$$\phi_*^2 = \phi_Q^2 - \left[\frac{\mathcal{N} H^2}{4\pi^2} - \frac{3H^2}{2(60\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{3}{8\pi^2 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{H^4}{V_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]. \qquad (16)$$

The third term in the square brackets is larger than the second for $H > 2M_{\rm Pl}$. Note that limits such as $V_0^{1/4} < 10^{16}$ GeV [5] and $H < 10^{13}$ GeV are derived for classical inflation and not valid in the quantum phase. Nevertheless, $H > 3M_{\rm Pl}$ implies $V(\phi) > M_{\rm Pl}^4$ which would put us in the realm of quantum gravity. We take $H < M_{\rm Pl}$ and ignore the third term in the square brackets above.

To check for consistency of this scenario, the quantity in square brackets should be positive. We also impose $\phi_* > H/(2\pi)$. These conditions give a lower bound and an upper bound on λ respectively, namely,

$$\frac{60}{\mathcal{N}^2} < \lambda < \frac{240}{(\mathcal{N}+1)^2}. \qquad (17)$$

For GUT-scale inflation with $\mathcal{N} \approx 60$ this implies

$$0.02 < \lambda < 0.06\,. \tag{18}$$

The cosmologically relevant scales leave inflation over 8 e-foldings. For all these scales to leave during the quantum phase for GUT-scale inflation the condition is $0.02 < \lambda$. For inflation models with scales varying from the electroweak scale to the Planck scale, $\mathcal{N}$ ranges from 30-65 and we get a lower bound of $(1-7) \times 10^{-2}$ for λ for all these models.

The curvature perturbations during the fluctuation driven phase is given by eq. (10). However, to obtain $\zeta_k = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ [8] with $\lambda > 10^{-2}$ requires ϕ values less than $H/(2\pi)$. Alternatively, if we require that $\zeta_k = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ for $\phi = \phi_*$, and that ϕ_* is greater than $H/(2\pi)$, then we get

$$\lambda < 1 \times 10^{-3}\,. \tag{19}$$

We notice that the above upper bound of 10^{-3} is very close to the lower bound of 10^{-2} on λ required for the consistency of this scenario. Furthermore, these values are very far from $\lambda \sim 10^{-14}$ required in standard classical inflation. We may consider relaxing the bound on the initial value of the inflaton (recall that $\phi_0 > H/(2\pi)$ is not binding on quantum inflation). But for the approximation for $\langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle$ in eq. (9) to hold the universe must go through more than half an e-folding of inflation and ϕ_* should be greater than $0.8\,H/(2\pi)$, which is still too constraining.

We now consider the scenario where evolution in the inflationary phase is entirely dominated by quantum fluctuations, i.e., $\phi_Q > \phi_e$. Then

$$\mathcal{N}(\phi_* \to \phi_e) = \frac{4\pi^2}{H^2}(\phi_e^2 - \phi_*^2)\,, \tag{20}$$

and so

$$\phi_* = \left[\phi_e^2 - \frac{\mathcal{N}}{4\pi^2}H^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\,. \tag{21}$$

As discussed earlier, $\phi_e \approx (V_0/\lambda)^{1/4}$. The condition $\phi_Q > \phi_e$ implies that

$$H > 1.8\,M_{\rm Pl}\,. \tag{22}$$

(This is close to the limit $H < 3M_{\rm Pl}$ for classical gravity to be valid.) From eq. (21), $\phi_e^2 > \mathcal{N}H^2/(4\pi^2)$. For Planck scale inflation we take $\mathcal{N} = 65$. This then implies

$$H < \frac{0.02\,\pi^2}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\,M_{\rm Pl}\,. \tag{23}$$

Combining the above two bounds one finds that for inflation to be in the quantum phase during its entire duration requires a large Hubble parameter during inflation and only a reasonable upper bound on λ, i.e.,

$$H > 1.8\, M_{\rm Pl} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda < 1 \times 10^{-2}\,. \tag{24}$$

The curvature perturbation is given by eq. (10). We require that $\zeta = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ for $\phi = \phi_*$ and also impose that ϕ_* is larger than $H/(2\pi)$. This gives a further bound on λ, namely,

$$\lambda < 1 \times 10^{-3}\,. \tag{25}$$

The above analysis indicates that inflation with reasonable values of the coupling constant of the inflaton field is feasible without unnatural finetuning. However one must also check the spectral index for the curvature perturbation, n_s. n_s is given by Ref. [6] as

$$n_s(k) - 1 \equiv \frac{d\ln P_{\mathcal{R}}}{d\ln k}\,. \tag{26}$$

From our definition of ζ_k in eq. (1), $P_{\mathcal{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \zeta_k/3$. $d\ln k = d\ln(aH) = dN$, ignoring variation in H. Then, using eqs. (2) and (10) we find that

$$n_s(k) - 1 = \frac{3}{N}\,. \tag{27}$$

n_s is greater than 1 rather than less than 1 as in classical quartic new inflation. This is because $\dot{\phi}^2$ in the denominator of ζ_k is now H^4 and not $\sim V'$ and so $P_{\mathcal{R}}$ is now a positive power of ϕ. The running of the spectral index is given by

$$\frac{d\,n_s}{d\ln k} = -\frac{3}{N^2}\,. \tag{28}$$

If one presumes that many e-foldings of inflation occur before our current horizon scale crosses the horizon during inflation then $n_s \simeq 1$.

The tensor-scalar ratio, r, is a signature of inflation models and is defined as

$$r = \frac{P_T}{P_{\mathcal{R}}}\,, \tag{29}$$

where P_T, the tensor power spectrum, is given by

$$P_T(k) = 4\left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \frac{16\pi}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}|_{k=aH}\,. \tag{30}$$

(The factor of 4 is as per the definition of the WMAP collaboration [8].) Using $P_{\mathcal{R}} = \zeta_k^2/9$ and $\zeta_k = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r &= \frac{144}{\pi\zeta_k^2}\left(\frac{H}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^2 && (31)\\
&= 2 \times 10^9 \left(\frac{H}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^2 . && (32)
\end{aligned}
$$

One can see that for $H > 1.6 M_{\rm Pl}$ the large value of the tensor-scalar ratio rules out this scenario. (The possibility of large quantum gravity corrections may also be a concern.)

We now consider a quadratic model of new inflation. For quadratic new inflation with $V = V_0 - m^2\phi^2/2$ the slow roll conditions $|V''| \ll 9H^2$ and $|V' M_{\rm Pl}/V| \ll (48\pi)^{1/2}$ [3] give $m^2 \ll 9H^2$ and $\phi \ll (H^2/m^2) M_{\rm Pl}$. We take $\phi_e = V_0^{\frac{1}{2}}/m$ [6]. From eqs. (2), (3) and (5), $\phi_Q = 0.2(H/m)H$. Then from eq. (2), $N_Q = 1.6H^2/m^2$. For $\phi_Q < \phi_*$

$$
\mathcal{N}(\phi_* \to \phi_e) = \frac{8\pi}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\int_{\phi_*}^{\phi_e} \frac{V}{-V'} d\phi \,. \tag{33}
$$

For quadratic new inflation the WMAP bounds on $1 - n_s$ imply GUT-scale inflation [6]. Then for $H = 10^{-6} M_{\rm Pl}$, $\mathcal{N} = 60$ and

$$
\phi_* = \phi_e e^{-20m^2/H^2} \tag{34}
$$

The condition that $\phi_Q < \phi_*$ then gives $m/H < 0.8$. Setting $\zeta_k = (0.5H^2/\dot{\phi})_* = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ and using $\dot{\phi} = -V'/3H$, one gets $m/H = 0.03$ or 0.35. But $1 - n_s = 2m^2/(3H^2)$ [6] and WMAP observations imply that $0.023 < 1 - n_s < 0.052$ (at 68% C.L.)[8], thereby allowing only $m/H = 0.03$. Then $\phi_Q = 7H$ and $N_Q = 2000$. We can also note that $V(\phi_Q) \approx V_0 \gg \langle\dot{\phi}^2\rangle = H^4/(16\pi^2)$, and so the universe is potential energy dominated at the end of the fluctuation driven phase.

As for the quartic case, we now evaluate the curvature perturbation ζ_k for modes that leave during the quantum phase. We find that

$$
\zeta_k = 8\pi \frac{m^2\phi}{H^3} \,. \tag{35}
$$

Using this, we find that the condition for eternal inflation is

$$
\mathcal{K} = \frac{9}{4\pi}\frac{H^3}{m^2\phi} \,. \tag{36}
$$

For $\phi = \phi_Q$ this is approximately 100, and for smaller values of ϕ it is even larger. This indicates that in the quantum phase some regions will eternally inflate. The density perturbation and curvature perturbation will be less than 1. There is no eternal inflation in the classical inflation phase.

We now consider when ϕ_Q is greater than ϕ_*. For $\phi_* < \phi_Q < \phi_e$, eq. (14) gives

$$\mathcal{N}(\phi_* \to \phi_e) = \frac{4\pi^2}{H^2}(\phi_Q^2 - \phi_*^2) + \frac{3H^2}{m^2}\ln(\phi_e/\phi_Q)\,. \tag{37}$$

Then

$$\phi_*^2 = \phi_Q^2 - \left[\frac{\mathcal{N}}{4\pi^2}H^2 - \frac{3}{4\pi^2}H^2\frac{H^2}{m^2}\ln\left(\frac{1.6M_{\text{Pl}}}{H}\right)\right], \tag{38}$$

with $\phi_Q = 0.2(H/m)H$, as above. The condition that $\phi_Q > \phi_*$ implies that the quantity in square brackets above is positive. Furthermore, $\phi_* > H/(2\pi)$. These conditions imply

$$\frac{1.6 + 3\ln(1.6M_{\text{Pl}}/H)}{\mathcal{N}+1} > \frac{m^2}{H^2} > \frac{3\ln(1.6M_{\text{Pl}}/H)}{\mathcal{N}}\,. \tag{39}$$

The lhs above should be positive which implies $H < 2.7M_{\text{Pl}}$. Now the curvature perturbation is given by eq. (35). If we set $\zeta_k = 1.5\times 10^{-4}$ for $\phi_* > H/(2\pi)$ we get a constraint

$$\frac{m}{H} < 0.006\,. \tag{40}$$

Combining this with the second inequality in eq. (39) gives $H > 1.6M_{\text{Pl}}$. From eq. (32) this implies too large a tensor-scalar ratio which rules out this scenario.

If $\phi_Q > \phi_e$ then we once again have the relation in eq. (21). The condition $\phi_Q > \phi_e$ requires

$$H > 1.6M_{\text{Pl}}\,. \tag{41}$$

Once again this will imply a large tensor-scalar ratio and so this scenario of inflation being entirely in the quantum phase is not feasible.

B. Chaotic inflation

For chaotic inflation, we compare the quantum fluctuation driven $\dot{\phi}_q$ with $\dot{\phi}_V = -V'/3H$, and take $V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$ and $(\lambda/4)\,\phi^4$. In chaotic inflation, the inflaton is initially displaced from the minimum at ϕ_0. Then

$$\phi = \phi_0 \pm \sqrt{\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle}\,, \tag{42}$$

where

$$\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_H^{aH} d^3k|\phi_k|^2 \tag{43}$$

$$= \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \times N(t)\,. \tag{44}$$

Therefore

$$\phi = \phi_0 \pm \frac{H}{2\pi}\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}\,. \tag{45}$$

We take $\phi_0 > 0$. Quantum fluctuations will dominate the evolution as long as $|\dot{\phi}_q| \gg |\dot{\phi}_V|$, which for a quadratic potential implies

$$\frac{H^2}{4\pi\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}} \gg \frac{m^2\phi}{3H}\,. \tag{46}$$

Taking $\phi < \phi_0$, eq. (45) implies that $\sqrt{H(t-t_0)} = 2\pi(\phi_0-\phi)/H$. Then, expressing H in terms of ϕ, the above can be rewritten as

$$\phi\left(1+\frac{2}{3}\frac{m^2\phi^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}\right) \gg \phi_0\,. \tag{47}$$

Combining this with $\phi_0 > \phi$ gives

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{m^2\phi^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^4} \gg 1\,. \tag{48}$$

This implies that quantum fluctuations dominate for

$$\phi \gg \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{m}\,. \tag{49}$$

The maximum value of ϕ for which $V(\phi) < M_{\rm Pl}^4$ and quantum gravity effects can be ignored is $\phi = \sqrt{2}M_{\rm Pl}^2/m$ and so this implies that the above relation for dominance of quantum fluctuations is not satisfied for a field travelling downwards from ϕ_0.

If $\phi > \phi_0$, i.e., the fluctuations drive the inflaton up the potential, then eq. (45) implies that $\sqrt{H(t-t_0)} = 2\pi(\phi-\phi_0)/H$ and eq. (46) implies that fluctuations dominate as long as

$$\phi > \phi_0 \gg \phi\left(1-\frac{2}{3}\frac{m^2\phi^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}\right)\,, \tag{50}$$

or,

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{m^2\phi^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^4} > 0.9\,. \tag{51}$$

Furthermore, the condition that $\phi_0 > 0$ requires that the quantity in brackets in inequality (50) is positive. The above limits then imply that quantum fluctuations are important for evolution for

$$1.2\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{m} > \phi > 1.1\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{m} \,. \tag{52}$$

Thus the value of the inflaton would have to be extremely finetuned for quantum fluctuations to dominate and drive the field up the potential.

For a quartic potential, $V = (\lambda/4)\phi^4$, $\dot{\phi}_V = -\lambda\phi^3/(3H)$. Repeating the steps as above for the quadratic potential, for $\phi < \phi_0$ quantum fluctuations dominate if

$$\phi\left(1 + \frac{\lambda\phi^4}{6M_{\rm Pl}^4}\right) \gg \phi_0 > \phi \,, \tag{53}$$

or,

$$\frac{\lambda\phi^4}{6M_{\rm Pl}^4} \gg 1 \,. \tag{54}$$

This corresponds to $\phi \gg 6^{\frac{1}{4}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}M_{\rm Pl}$ which is greater than the maximum value of $\phi = 4^{\frac{1}{4}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}M_{\rm Pl}$ for a classical description of spacetime. For $\phi > \phi_0$ when the field moves up its potential, quantum fluctuations dominate for

$$\phi > \phi_0 \gg \phi\left(1 - \frac{\lambda\phi^4}{6M_{\rm Pl}^4}\right) \,, \tag{55}$$

or,

$$1 > \frac{\lambda\phi^4}{6M_{\rm Pl}^4} > 0.9 \,. \tag{56}$$

This corresponds to $\phi > 5^{\frac{1}{4}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}M_{\rm Pl}$, and as before exceeds the maximum allowed value for a classical spacetime. Thus, as for the quadratic potential, quantum fluctuations do not dominate the evolution of the inflaton.

Above we have considered the evolution of the rms value of ϕ. Sec. 1.8 of Ref. [1], and Ref. [9] have considered the evolution of a region of space in which quantum fluctuations of the field always takes it higher up on its potential leading to eternal inflation. Here the field grows linearly with time unlike the rms value which grows as $\sqrt{t - t_0}$. It is interesting that if one looks at the evolution of the rms field value, it will not lead to eternal inflation, and thus a typical patch does not eternally inflate. The small probability of such an occurrence has been dealt with in the literature.

C. Natural inflation

For natural inflation one has a potential of the form $V = \Lambda^4[1+\cos(\phi/f)]$ [10, 11]. ϕ lies between 0 and 2π and we take $\phi < \pi$. Quantum evolution dominates over classical evolution if

$$\frac{H^2}{4\pi\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}} \gg \left|\frac{V'}{3H}\right| = \frac{\Lambda^4}{3Hf}\sin\frac{\phi}{f}\,. \tag{57}$$

Taking $\phi > \phi_0$, i.e., for the inflaton travelling towards the minimum, $\sqrt{H(t-t_0)} = 2\pi(\phi - \phi_0)/H$. Then, quantum fluctuations dominate for

$$\frac{\phi}{f} > \frac{\phi_0}{f} \gg \frac{\phi}{f}\left(1 - \frac{8}{3}\frac{\Lambda^4}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}\frac{[1+\cos(\phi/f)]^2}{(\phi/f)\sin(\phi/f)}\right)\,, \tag{58}$$

or,

$$\frac{8}{3}\frac{\Lambda^4}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}\frac{\cos^3(\phi/2f)}{(\phi/2f)\sin(\phi/2f)} > 0.9\,. \tag{59}$$

Furthermore the rhs of the inequality (58) must be positive. Therefore quantum fluctuations dominate for

$$\left(\frac{1}{0.9}\right)\frac{8}{3}\frac{\Lambda^4}{M_{\rm Pl}^4} > \frac{(\phi/2f)\sin(\phi/2f)}{\cos^3(\phi/2f)} > \frac{8}{3}\frac{\Lambda^4}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}\,. \tag{60}$$

From the upper limit on H during inflation of 10^{13} GeV, $\Lambda \leq 10^{-3} M_{\rm Pl}$. Therefore the lhs above is less than or equal to 10^{-12} and so $\phi/f \ll 1$. Then, for $\Lambda = 10^{-3}M_{\rm Pl}$, using the small angle limits for sine and cosine,

$$3.4\times10^{-6} > \frac{\phi}{f} > 3.3\times10^{-6}\,, \tag{61}$$

corresponding to extreme fine-tuning of ϕ. For smaller values of Λ the values of ϕ are even smaller.

For $\phi < \phi_0$, $\sqrt{H(t-t_0)} = 2\pi(\phi_0 - \phi)/H$ and eq. (57) implies that quantum fluctuations dominate if

$$\frac{(\phi/2f)\sin(\phi/2f)}{\cos^3(\phi/2f)} \ll \frac{8}{3}\frac{\Lambda^4}{M_{\rm Pl}^4}\,. \tag{62}$$

This is satisfied for $\Lambda = 10^{-3}M_{\rm Pl}$ if $\phi/f \ll 3.3\times10^{-6}$, with an even smaller upper bound for smaller values of Λ. Thus ϕ_Q is very close to 0 and again it would be extreme fine-tuning to expect the inflaton to be so close to 0. Thus in natural inflation one does not expect quantum fluctuations to be relevant for evolution.

Natural inflation models are classified as small field or large field inflation depending on whether f is less than or greater than 1.5 $M_{\rm Pl}$ [12]. One might have expected that for small field natural inflation quantum fluctuations would be important initially, as for new inflation. The above discussion shows this is not so.

III. WARM INFLATION

In warm inflation [13], dissipative effects are important during the inflation period, so that radiation production occurs concurrently with inflationary expansion. The basic equation for describing the evolution of an inflaton field that dissipates energy is of a Langevin form [14, 15]

$$\ddot{\phi} + [3H + \Upsilon]\dot{\phi} - \frac{1}{a^2(t)}\nabla^2\phi - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = \zeta. \qquad (63)$$

In this equation, $\Upsilon\dot{\phi}$ is a dissipative term and ζ is a fluctuating force. Both are effective terms, arising due to the interaction of the inflaton with other fields. In general these two terms are related through a fluctuation-dissipation theorem, which would depend on the statistical state of the system and the microscopic dynamics. Although the statistical state can be quite general, all studies so far have focused on the thermal state and we will restrict our consideration here to that also. Thus the evolution of the inflaton field has to be calculated in a thermal background.

The above dynamics need not be restricted just to the period when there is a potential driven inflation period. The above dynamics could also occur previous to such a period. This point leads to a new type of inflation phase in which while inflation occurs, the inflaton rather than being governed by the potential V is instead governed by the thermal background which produces large fluctuations in the inflaton field. This is similar to the quantum fluctuation driven inflation discussed in the previous section, except now the fluctuations are thermal rather than quantum.

To examine the initial period during this thermal fluctuation dominated inflation phase, we first need to evaluate ϕ_T which is the value of ϕ when evolution due to the fluctuations is no longer dominant. Following the same procedure as done for the cold inflation case, we need to evaluate the equivalent of eq. (8.3.12) of Ref.[1] and eq. (3.11) of Ref. [2] to obtain $\dot{\phi}$ due to fluctuations and due to the potential and ascertain till when the former dominates. Fluctuations in warm inflation are obtained from a Langevin equation derived using a real-time formalism of thermal field theory [15, 17].

In treating warm inflation, one caveat is important. In general the inflaton dynamics is a non-equilibrium problem. Whether the case of cold or warm inflation, certain assumptions are already being made about this dynamics when one writes down the evolution equation. In cold inflation, the basic assumption is the inflaton is evolving at effectively zero temperature and interactions with other fields are negligible. In the case of warm inflation, one is assuming there is a thermal state and the inflaton interaction with other fields is significant. In this case there will be a point in time, t_d, when these conditions are realized. Previous to that time, in principle one would need to calculate the full quantum field dynamics and determine the statistical state and its evolution. This is beyond the scope of this paper. Here what we will assume is either dissipation effects are important and evolve as eq. (63) or else they are not important and to a good approximation the evolution is the same as the cold inflation case. If t_d is sufficiently early, then the entire fluctuation dominated era can be calculated based on the evolution eq. (63). However if t_d occurs fairly close to the onset of the slow-roll period, then this can allow for possibilities which combine both quantum and thermal fluctuation regimes before potential driven inflation.

There are two dissipative regimes that must be considered depending on whether in eq. (63) $\Upsilon \leq 3H$, which is called the weak dissipative regime, or $\Upsilon > 3H$, which is called the strong dissipative regime. For all these cases, the Langevin equation for the modes of the inflaton field can be solved exactly, as done in Ref. [16]. Our interest here is in the long wavelength modes, for which Ref. [16] finds the solution coincides with the homogeneous solution, as if the effect of the noise force had no effect. Thus calculation of $\langle\phi^2\rangle$ in both these cases is found to have the same general form as for cold inflation and, following eqs. (7.3.10-7.3.12) of Ref.[1],

$$\langle\phi^2\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int_H^{aH} d^3k|\phi_k|^2 = \int_H^{aH}\frac{dk}{k}P_\phi(k) \ , \tag{64}$$

where only the inflaton power spectrum $P_\phi(k) \equiv k^3|\phi_k|^2/(2\pi^2)$ is different for the various cases. The limits of the integral admit only those modes that have left the horizon during inflation.

A. Weak dissipation

In the scenario in which $\Upsilon < 3H$ the slow roll of the inflaton is because of the Hubble damping term in the equation of motion, so in particular the inflaton mass $m < 3H$ must hold in order that a slow-roll regime is eventually achieved. The analysis for this case is very similar to the cold inflation case, except the expression for the inflaton fluctuation is different. In this regime the inflaton fluctuation at freeze-out gives $P_\phi(k) = (3\pi/4)^{1/2} HT$ [14, 15, 16] and

$$\langle \phi^2 \rangle = \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} HT \times N(t)\,. \tag{65}$$

(This may be compared with eq. (8.48) of Ref. [3], $\langle \phi^2 \rangle = (H/2\pi)^2 \times N(t)$.) Then

$$\phi = \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} (HT)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{H(t-t_0)}\;, \tag{66}$$

and the evolution rate of the inflaton in the fluctuation driven phase is

$$\dot{\phi}_{\rm th} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} H^{\frac{3}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}}\,. \tag{67}$$

Thermal fluctuations will dominate the evolution of ϕ as long as

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} H^{\frac{3}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}} \gg -\frac{V'}{3H} = \frac{\lambda\phi^3}{3H}\,. \tag{68}$$

Defining ϕ_T as the largest value for which thermal fluctuations dominate, $\phi_T \approx H^{\frac{3}{4}} T^{\frac{1}{4}} / \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

The temperature during the thermal phase must be determined. Any residual radiation from initial conditions will rapidly red-shift away during any inflation epoch, and so in order for a thermal fluctuation dominated phase of inflation to exist, there must be a source of radiation production. Although in general this is a problem of nonequilibrium quantum field theory, following our statements at the start of this section, we will assume the radiation is produced by the background component of the scalar field, ϕ, which is controlled by eq. (63) without the noise force, for which the radiation produced is

$$\rho_r = \frac{\Upsilon \dot{\phi}^2}{4H}. \tag{69}$$

In the weak dissipative regime, $\dot{\phi} = -V'/(3H)$, and for our new inflation potential eq. (4) this gives

$$\rho_r = \frac{\lambda^2 \Upsilon \phi^6}{36 H^3}. \tag{70}$$

Since the radiation energy density increases with ϕ, an estimate of largest radiation energy density produced will be for $\phi \sim \phi_T$. Equating the expression eq. (70) with $\rho_r \approx g_* T^4$, the temperature can be expressed in terms of the other quantities, and we find $T \sim 0.2\lambda^{1/5}\Upsilon^{2/5}H^{3/5}/g_*^{2/5} \ll H$. The latter inequality follows since $\lambda \ll 1$ and in the weak dissipative regime $\Upsilon < H$. Thus we conclude that in the weak dissipative case for the new inflation quartic potential, there is never a thermal fluctuation driven regime during inflation. The dynamics before potential driven inflation will be the same as for the quantum fluctuation dominated phase in Subsect. II A.

B. Strong dissipation

In the strong dissipation case $P_\phi(k) = \sqrt{\pi/4}(\Upsilon H)^{\frac{1}{2}}T$ [15, 16] (see eq. (34) of Ref. [16]). Results will now be calculated during the fluctuation era for the new inflation model eq. (4). Using the above expression for $P_\phi(k)$ in eq. (64), $\langle\phi^2\rangle$ in the fluctuation dominated regime can be obtained as

$$\langle\phi^2\rangle \; = \; \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Upsilon H)^{1/2}T \times N(t)\,. \tag{71}$$

Then

$$\phi = \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}(\Upsilon H)^{\frac{1}{4}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}\;, \tag{72}$$

and so

$$\dot{\phi}_{\rm th} \approx (\Upsilon H)^{\frac{1}{4}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}H\frac{1}{2\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}}. \tag{73}$$

The thermal fluctuations will dominate the ϕ evolution from the potential as long as

$$(\Upsilon H)^{\frac{1}{4}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}H\frac{1}{2\sqrt{H(t-t_0)}} \gg \frac{\lambda\phi^3}{\Upsilon}. \tag{74}$$

If fluctuations dominate evolution till ϕ_T then eq. (74) implies that

$$\phi_T = (\Upsilon H)^{3/8}T^{1/4}/\lambda^{1/4}\,. \tag{75}$$

The temperature during the thermal fluctuation dominated phase must now be determined using eq. (69), where in the strong dissipative regime $\dot{\phi} = -V'/\Upsilon$. To estimate the maximum the radiation energy density will be during the thermal fluctuation inflation regime, eq. (75) is used from which we find,

$$T \sim \frac{\lambda^{1/5}}{g_*^{2/5}}(\Upsilon H)^{1/2}. \tag{76}$$

In order for this regime to be thermal dominated requires $T > H$, which implies the condition

$$\Upsilon \gtrsim \frac{g_*^{4/5}}{\lambda^{2/5}} H. \tag{77}$$

In this regime, from eqs. (72) and (75)

$$N_T = \frac{(\Upsilon H)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\lambda T)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \,. \tag{78}$$

The curvature power spectrum for strong dissipation is given by [15, 16]

$$\begin{aligned} P_R^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{H\Upsilon}{|V'|} P_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} && (79) \\ &= 2\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{(\lambda T)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(H\Upsilon)^{\frac{1}{4}}} N_k^{\frac{3}{2}}, && (80) \end{aligned}$$

with $N_k \approx H\Upsilon/(2\lambda\,\phi_k^2)$. (The expression for N_k is that for the weak dissipative regime with $3H$ replaced by Υ, as can be surmised from eq. (13).) Setting $N_k = 60$ and $P_R^{\frac{1}{2}} = 10^{-5}$ gives $N_T = (H\Upsilon)^{\frac{1}{4}}/(T\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 10^8$. One can verify that $V(\phi_T) \approx V_0 - (\Upsilon/H)^{\frac{3}{2}} T H^3/4 \approx V_0 - \lambda^{1/5}(\Upsilon H)^2/(4g_*^{2/5})$. In order that $V(\phi_T) \approx V_0$ and so the fluctuations do not take the inflaton to the bottom of the potential, it requires the condition $10V_0/(\lambda^{7/20}M_{Pl})^4 \ll 1$, which holds for $V_0^{1/4} \lesssim 10^{14}$GeV. This is two orders of magnitude less than earlier constraints based on CMBR density fluctuation measurements [4].

In this regime, we also must confirm that the kinetic energy of the field fluctuations does not dominate the potential during the fluctuation driven epoch. The kinetic energy of the field fluctuations is obtained from

$$\langle \dot{\phi}^2 \rangle = \int_{k_F}^{ak_F} \frac{dk}{k} |\dot{\delta\phi}(k)|^2 \,, \tag{81}$$

where $\delta\phi(k) = (k^3/2\pi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\phi_k$ and $k_F = (\Upsilon H)^{1/2}$ is the freeze-out scale in the strong dissipative regime [15]. Using eq. (38) of Ref. [16] for $\dot{\delta\phi}(k)$,

$$\dot{\delta\phi}(k) = -\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{k^2}{\Upsilon a^2} (\Upsilon H)^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \,, \tag{82}$$

we get from Eq. (81),

$$\langle \dot{\phi}^2 \rangle \approx \Upsilon^{1/2} H^{5/2} T, \tag{83}$$

which is much smaller than $V_0 = 0.1 H^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2$ since T and Υ are much smaller than $M_{\rm Pl}$. Also from eq. (76) it follows that ρ_r is much less than V_0.

This result has demonstrated a new thermal fluctuation inflation phase. There can be other variations to the above scenario. In the strong dissipative regime slow-roll motion only requires the condition on the inflaton mass $m < \Upsilon$, thus in general the inflaton mass can be much bigger than the Hubble parameter. This feature, combined with the presence of a radiation component and dissipative dynamics can lead to other possible dynamics previous to the potential driven inflation phase. One example is if the dissipation dynamics is not initially active, previous to time t_d, the inflaton field now is massive and evolves like the cold inflation case, in that only a $3H\dot{\phi}$ term damps its evolution. In such a case for a massive inflaton field the estimate for $\langle\phi^2\rangle$ differs from that in eq. (3) and rather it is eq. (7.3.13) of [1].

IV. CONCLUSION

In this article we have investigated the evolution of the inflaton due to quantum fluctuations and studied its possible consequences. If the field travels far on its potential due to quantum fluctuatuions or acquires a large kinetic energy then standard inflation where the field rolls due to the slope of its potential will not subsequently occur. This will dramatically alter the inflationary scenario and significantly affect the density spectrum. For a given potential a priori one can not predict the impact of quantum fluctuations on the evolution of the inflaton. For example, for GUT-scale inflation the quantum phase lasts for 10^8 e-foldings for a Coleman-Weinberg potential (approximated by a quartic potential). In contrast, for quadratic new inflation the quantum phase lasts for 2000 e-foldings. For chaotic inflation and for natural inflation, the quantum phase is negligible and classical rolling is important from the beginning of inflation. One might have expected quantum fluctuations to be relevant for all small field inflation models but it is not so for small field natural inflation.

For new inflation models, if one assumes that our current horizon scale left the horizon during classical slow roll, then the earlier quantum phase leaves the inflaton far from the minimum of its potential and with sub-dominant kinetic energy. This allows for the standard classical rolling inflationary phase to follow. If our current horizon scale leaves the horizon during the quantum phase then for quartic new inflation this requires that the coupling λ is greater than 10^{-2}. This is an order of magnitude higher than the upper bound of 10^{-3}

on λ from the observed density perturbations. It is noteworthy that these limits on the coupling are very far from the value of 10^{-14} required for standard classical inflation. We also consider a scenario where the quantum phase lasts for the entire inflationary epoch but the tensor-scalar ratio is too large. For quadratic new inflation too neither of these scenarios is feasible because of a large tensor-scalar ratio. The quantum phase may be relevant in double inflation models with two stages of inflation, if either stage has a new inflation type potential [18].

We have studied quartic new inflation in the context of warm inflation (weak dissipation and strong dissipation regimes). We find that as in cold inflation about 10^8 e-foldings of inflation occur before inflaton evolution is driven by the slope of the potential. However in the weak dissipative regime, the fluctuation driven phase is due to quantum fluctuations while in the strong dissipative regime it is due to thermal fluctuations. Quantum fluctuations of the inflaton in a thermal background are larger than in vacuum, and the condition that the inflaton is not driven to the minimum of its potential by fluctuations in the strong dissipative regime requires that the scale of inflation must be less than 10^{14} GeV. In both dissipative regimes the kinetic energy of the inflaton at the end of the fluctuation driven phase is much less than the potential energy, thereby allowing for the standard warm inflation scenario with a slowly rolling inflaton field driven by the potential to commence after the fluctuation driven phase is over. This confirms the robustness of the warm inflation scenario.

---

[1] A. D. Linde, “Particle physics and inflationary cosmology”, Contemporary concepts in physics **5**, Harwood, Switzerland (1990) [arXiv:hep-th/0503203].

[2] J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 679 (1983).

[3] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, “The Early universe”, Front. Phys. **69**, 1 (1990).

[4] M. Bastero-Gil and A. Berera, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24**, 2207 (2009).

[5] L. Boubekeur and D. H. Lyth, JCAP **0507**, 010 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0502047].

[6] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, “Cosmological inflation and large-scale structure”, Cambridge University Press, U.K. (2000) (see Sec. 8.3.3).

[7] A. H. Guth, J. Phys. A **40**, 6811 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702178].

[8] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **180**, 330 (2009)

[arXiv:0803.0547 [astro-ph]]. WMAP measures $P_{\mathcal{R}} = 2.41 \times 10^{-9}$, where $P_{\mathcal{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = H^2/(2\pi\dot{\phi}) = \zeta_k/3$.

[9] A. D. Linde, Lect. Notes Phys. **738**, 1 (2008) [arXiv:0705.0164 [hep-th]].

[10] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3233 (1990).

[11] F. C. Adams, J. R. Bond, K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 426 (1993) [arXiv:hep-ph/9207245].

[12] C. Savage, K. Freese and W. H. Kinney, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 123511 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0609144].

[13] A. Berera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3218 (1995); [arXiv:astro-ph/9509049].

[14] A. Berera and L. Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1912 (1995). [arXiv:astro-ph/9501024].

[15] A. Berera, Nucl. Phys. B **585**, 666 (2000). [arXiv:hep-ph/9904409].

[16] L. M. H. Hall, I. G. Moss and A. Berera, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 083525 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0305015].

[17] M. Gleiser and R. O. Ramos, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2441 (1994) [arXiv:hep-ph/9311278].

[18] L. Randall, M. Soljacic and A. H. Guth, Nucl. Phys. B **472**, 377 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9512439].